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Abstract
The paper describes the development of four learning modules that focus on technical details of how a person’s privacy might be compromised in real-world scenarios. The paper shows how students benefited from the addition of hands-on learning experiences of privacy and data protection to the existing information technology courses. These learning modules raised students’ awareness of potential breaches of privacy as a user as well as a developer. The demonstration of a privacy breach in action helped students to design, configure, and implement technical solutions to prevent privacy violations. The assessment results demonstrate the strength of the technical approach.
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Introduction
Computing curriculum in many universities include courses that teach students how to develop secure software and use the best practices for system configuration. In many of these programs, the focus of the education is on confidentiality. However, confidentiality does not guarantee privacy. Protecting privacy has become a widely recognized priority for both businesses and government agencies (“Consumer data privacy in a networked world,” 2012). In the European Union, the PRIPARE project (PReparing Industry to Privacy-by-design by supporting its Application in Research) was started in 2013 to identify gaps and provide recommendations on privacy and security-by-design practices (Roda et al., 2014). Computing professionals must consider privacy issues as they design and manage information systems that protect information from misuse.

To help students have better understanding of privacy issues, the authors proposed a conceptual framework (Peltsverger & Zheng, 2012) to enhance privacy education and curriculum. The framework particularly addresses the technical aspects of privacy education. Guided by the framework, this paper describes the design of four sample learning modules that all include hands-on labs to teach the technical details of privacy. The purpose of these technical labs is to demonstrate what happens “behind the scene” when users interact with information systems. They not only demonstrate how to protect customers’ privacy and write privacy policies, but also how to develop technical/automatic procedures for their enforcement. These modules have been incorporated into current courses and tested by students.
The next section provides a general view on issues of privacy, followed by the brief summary of the privacy curriculum framework. After describing the module design and four developed modules, student feedback from surveys is presented and discussed.

**Privacy in IT Curriculum**

The attack surface on privacy started to expand in mid-1990s when the Internet introduced a nearly instantaneous way to access data via web browsers, emails and later instant messages, forums, blogs, social networks, e-commerce sites, global positioning systems, etc. People expose their personal information when they use computers, smart phones, and other devices in everyday business and personal life. Every time digital content is retrieved, a digital footprint that can be used to trace the action to a particular individual or device is created. The explosion of online content and the growth of online services such as online banking and electronic health records expanded the surface of attacks on personal privacy. According to Cisco (2014), by 2018 global IP traffic is expected to reach 1.6 zettabytes per year, and over half of all IP traffic will originate with non-PC devices. Contributing factors for growing concerns about privacy are:

- Decreasing storage cost.
- Decreasing cost of computing power.
- Decreasing cost of transmitting data.
- Increasing popularity of business intelligence
- Business digitalization

In 2001 due to the USA Patriot Act banks started performing due diligence on customers, such as verifying identity and indefinitely storing account activity. It triggered the collection of personal data such as credit card records, phone records, health care records, e-mails, and mobile devices location. “Digitalization - The Third Era of Enterprise IT” has begun, according to Gartner (2014).

Computing professionals are the ones who must design and implement information systems to prevent private information disclosure. Privacy violations are not always the result of poor security, so students must learn about privacy as an essential principle in information systems, instead of learning it as consequences of poor information system security. The disclosure of personal information will eventually compromise security, as it happened with Sarah Palin’s account when a published answer to a security question allowed a password change (Zetter, 2008).

Despite the importance of privacy, many educational programs do not cover the subject systematically and comprehensively. Many universities base their computing curriculum on the Association for Computing Machinery’s (ACM) curriculum model. The authors found that both ACM Information Technology Curricula IT 2008 (ACM, 2008) and ACM Computer Science Curricula 2013 (ACM, 2013) have a minimal coverage of privacy topics. Moreover, the majority of the learning objectives only call for understanding rather than application and analysis. Students must learn how to incorporate privacy protection in the software designs and the systems configuration if they are to be effective in countering security breaches. They must be able not only to describe, but also to design and implement privacy protection in information systems. In addition, both curriculum models do not provide details for the learning objective and topics. Therefore, the interpretation and implementation of learning outcomes largely depends on individual instructors.

Several authors have shown that there is a gap between students knowing that there is a possible privacy issue and their behaviors as end-users (Ackerman, 2000; Brandimarte, Acquisti, & Loevenstein, 2010; Rowan & Dehlinger, 2014). Students need to see how a privacy breach happens and to start behaving accordingly.
The following incidents and research motivated development of the labs presented in this paper:

- In 2010 Google launched a social service called Buzz and exposed the personal contacts of its email users. Internet privacy groups received $8.5M when Google settled the lawsuit (Krazit, 2010). Another example is the location tracking as a result of wide use of mobile devices.
- eBay users’ public profiles were cross-referenced with social network profiles on Facebook to reveal sensitive information about eBay users (Minkus & Ross, 2014).
- Facebook was forced to implement a more secure authentication method to protect users from widely publicized FireSheep wireless networking attack. Google now uses https as a default protocol to deliver query results (McMillan, 2011).
- Researchers in the paper “I Know Where You are and What You are Sharing” (Blond, Zhang, Legout, Ross, & Dabbous, 2011) used Skype API and Maxmind to track user mobility even when they were behind NAT.
- The newest threat is the Internet of Things which can compromise privacy by combining the characteristics of ubiquitous sensor networks and other identifiable things (Abomhara & Koien, 2014).

**A Privacy Education Framework**

To address the current education and training deficiencies on privacy, the privacy education framework was developed to guide the curriculum development. The learning framework proposed by the authors (Peltsverger & Zheng, 2012) is based on the competencies illustrated in Figure 1. The framework emphasizes the knowledge and skills built upon domains, and it features a complete educational focus on both perspectives of data collector and data providers.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>From a data user’s perspective:</th>
<th>From a data owner’s perspective:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Know the legal, social, and business issues.</td>
<td>Know the legal, social, and technical issues.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Analyze threats and risks of various data collection techniques.</td>
<td>Know types of data that need protection from privacy invasions.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Propose, design and implement technical solutions to protect customers or clients.</td>
<td>Configure systems and implement technical solutions to protect a user.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In each domain

Knowledge of major privacy issues in common domains (scenarios) involving data collection, tracking, usage, and sharing.

**Figure 1: Privacy Curriculum Development Framework**

A domain is an application or behavior area where privacy issues are likely to rise. Each domain may have its own unique issues and involve specific technologies and regulations. For example, Privacy Rights Clearinghouse has provided a list of these areas (“Privacy Today,” 2015), and the list is growing as new technologies and behaviors emerge. This trend is illustrated when the wide
usage of smartphones with geo location technology introduced the location privacy issues. At
different stages of processing data, these issues and domains can be categorized as data collection
(including explicit and implicit data collection), data storage and management, and data use.

The skills and competencies are broadly categorized into two areas based on the entity’s relation-
ship to data: data owner and data user. Data owners are often the service consumers who are the
owners of the information, and their information may be captured and used by service providers
(data users) when consumers are using or have used the service. Data users can also include those
who collect and use secondary data that is not directly collected from data owners.

These two areas are complementary to each other and together depict the complete education
blueprint. Education on both areas is equally important as students can be involved in both areas.
As data owners, students should understand the privacy issues involved and know how
to use tools to protect their own privacy, either from an individual perspective or from an organi-
zation’s perspective. This aspect of privacy is most often covered in existing IA curriculum. Edu-
cation on the data user side is equally important as students are designing and developing systems
and applications to collect and analyze data, and any negligence may lead to potential troubles
and damages.

From either perspective, both technical and non-technical knowledge should be addressed. Non-
technical parts are commonly about the laws and regulations that govern the data collection and
sharing process, such as Family Educational Rights and Privacy Act (FERPA), the Gramm Leach
Bliley Act (GLBA), and the Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act (HIPAA). The
technical part, such as system administration and configuration (e.g., user permissions, domain
policies), data sharing and application development, is a particular focus for information technol-
gy students.

A data-sharing example: In the online advertising industry, DoubleClick can be protected from
legal actions if all data they sell is protected from deanonymization. The company tracks the indi-
vidual Internet users. As soon as the first advert is displayed on a computer, a unique number is
generated and saved in a cookie file on the user’s computer. When the user visits another website
with DoubleClick adverts, DoubleClick reads the cookie and can customize what advert will be
displayed. Participating businesses need to fully understand the threats and risks involved in
DoubleClick’s technologies.

Students should be introduced to best practices, technologies, and systems for privacy preserva-
tion. Not only should they know and evaluate these technologies, but also know how to develop
and configure systems to prevent privacy violations. Students must understand common data col-
collection and tracking technologies, so they can configure systems to protect their own privacy.
Google’s CEO Eric Schmidt in his interview with the Wall Street Journal (Jenkins, 2010) said:
“every young person one day will be entitled automatically to change his or her name on reaching
adulthood in order to disown youthful hijinks stored on their friends’ social media sites.”

The framework provides a conceptual guideline for privacy education assessment. It can also be
used to identify current course deficiencies and course development opportunities.

**Learning Module Design**

Many instructors would like to cover privacy topics from a more technical aspect, but a lack of
teaching resources and environment limits their ability to do so. It is necessary to develop teach-
ing materials that include hands-on exercises to address major technical competencies defined in
the framework. The development of appropriate courseware will benefit not only existing courses
to add hands-on learning experience in technical aspects of privacy and data protection, but will
also open up new opportunities to a more specialized and strengthened track.
To illustrate the design of technical aspects of privacy education, we have developed the first four modules that focused on the technical competencies. All learning modules include technical labs. Each learning module consists of readings, demonstrations, hands-on assignments, discussions, and assessments. As a prerequisite, students should have a fundamental knowledge of networking concepts (ports, protocols, HTTP, DNS) and basic web technologies and be able to use client-side technologies (forms, JavaScript) to implement web pages.

A study guide is provided to assist the completion of each module. The study guide consists of overview, learning outcomes, lecture notes, a list of reading materials, and tasks with estimated time to complete for each assignment (see Figure 2).

| 1) Overview: this section gives a high level overview of the module and describes the basic purpose and objectives. |
| 2) Learning outcome: this section specifies the key learning outcomes in terms of concepts and practices. |
| 3) Learning materials: |
| a) Reading materials and references (2 to 3 hours). |
| b) Lecture notes, tutorials, labs, etc. |
| c) Additional reading materials and resources. |
| 4) Task list: lab, assessment instruments (quizzes, review questions, assignments) to measure interest, knowledge and skills of students before and after the labs). |
| a) Lab or guided exercise (1 hour). |
| b) Discussion: 3 to 5 review questions focusing on the most important concepts (1 hour). |
| c) Research and discuss (optional): required students to conduct light research work and share findings with the class. This may be used for senior level or graduate courses. |
| d) Assignments: 2 to 3 tasks focusing on hands-on solutions (2-3 hours). |
| e) Assessment: 10 to 15 multiple-choice quiz (1 hour). |

Figure 2. Basic learning module structure (organization).

The following two sections briefly describe each module to illustrate the module content and the structure.

**Module 1: Keyboard and Mouse Action Tracking**

Every time a user presses any key on a keyboard to enter, modify, or delete characters, or uses a key combination to copy (ctrl+c) and paste (ctrl+v) text, these events can be captured by the web application and trigger other events. It is also possible to track mouse movement such as screen position, clicking, hovering, and scrolling. This lab demonstrates how user keyboard and mouse actions can be tracked using client scripts and commonly available tools. Prerequisites for this module are a knowledge of HTML, CSS, and JavaScript.

Upon completion of the module, students will be able to:

- Examine client scripts that can track keyboard actions.
- Examine client scripts that can track mouse actions.
- Evaluate potential privacy risks arising from user tracking.
To deliver this lab, a TurnKey LAMP VM is used (http://www.turnkeylinux.org/lampstack). The LAMP stack is a popular open source web platform commonly used to run dynamic web sites and servers. It includes Linux, Apache, MySQL, and PHP/Python/Perl. All lab applications are deployed inside the VM. Figure 3 shows booted VM that displays server information.

![TurnKey LAMP VM](image)

Figure 3. TurnKey LAMP VM.

The pre-lab section consists of assigned reading, theory overview, and five guided exercises.

1) Studying DOM properties. Students use Firefox’s Developer Toolbar to learn the structure of the Google Search home page [http://google.com](http://google.com).
3) Analyzing details of three main keyboard events (onkeydown, onkeypress, and onkeyup) in different web browsers. Students use the keyboard to produce keyboard events and analyze generated alerts.
4) Mouse position tracking. Students use the mouse to produce keyboard events and analyze generated alerts.
5) Analyzing components of dragging event. Students learn four components of the drag (ondragenter, ondragover, ondragleave, ondrop) by producing events and analyzing generated alerts.

To reinforce understanding of the theory, two guided exercises are included in the main lab section.

The first exercise is dedicated to keyboard tracking and walks students through a registration form that uses Ajax to collect user’s input without form submission. Figure 4 shows the form that is used to collect information from a user. During this exercise, students also use a Firefox add-on proxy Tamperdata to track actions of a web application. Later students are presented with a log file that has a record of every keystroke they made.
The second exercise for the mouse tracking includes playing Ping-Pong (Figure 5) and observation of tracking results using smt2 tracking (see [http://code.google.com/p/smt2/wiki/readme](http://code.google.com/p/smt2/wiki/readme)).

The guided exercises include discussion of browser settings to show that disabling right and/or middle mouse button or disabling JavaScript altogether will limit or even make impossible tracking of user actions. Besides guided exercises, all source code is provided to students so they understand the programming side of keyboard and mouse tracking.
The discussion section reflects on what students have learned about user tracking during guided exercises. Throughout this activity, students create a list of protective measures that include browser settings modification and browser add-ons. The application contains tracking code and students have to find exactly which user activity is being tracked, how it is done and how it can be prevented.

Module 2: Cookies and Privacy Concerns

A cookie is a small piece of data stored by a web browser on a client computer. It can be read by the server side programs to retrieve its value. Cookies are commonly used for session management, user preference and/or history setting, and tracking. Because of their use in user tracking techniques, cookies have raised many privacy concerns. This module examines cookies in depth and demonstrates how cookies can be used to store and track various user data that may lead to privacy violations (Toubiana, Verdot, & Christophe, 2012).

Upon completion of the module, students will be able to:

- Explain how cookies can be used to store user information and what types of data can be stored.
- Explain cookie attributes, restrictions, and their impact.
- Explain the use of cookies to track users within and across websites (domains).
- Configure privacy settings related to cookie usage in browsers.
- Discuss how cookies can potentially compromise the privacy.

The lab section consists of five guided exercises. A lab environment includes three domains (one with two sub domains) and a number of web pages that creates and reads cookies.

1) View cookie data using browser tools (Chrome Developer Tools) and third party tools (like EditThisCookie) (Figure 6). Students will have firsthand experience of seeing how cookies are set and read with HTTP protocol, and properties of cookies like name, value, domain, path, expiration, size, etc.

2) Examine major cookies’ attributes and their impacts. In this exercise, students will have in depth experience with the effects of major cookies attributes expires, HTTPOnly, and see the effects of server-side set cookies and client-side set cookies. Students will learn the difference between session and persistent cookie.

3) Examine how cookies are used for user tracking. The example shows how JavaScript is used for user action tracking. The captured information stored in cookies and will be sent to the server the next time this page is requested.

4) The exercise demonstrates cross-domain user tracking and the technique of webbug.

5) Configure browser setting to allow/block third party and all cookies.

Besides guided exercises, all source code is provided to students so that they understand the programming side of cookie manipulation. Two programming methods are used: PHP on the server side and JavaScript on the client side. The module demonstrates the difference between server and client side processing of cookies. They are asked to change the code to modify cookie attributes.

1. Students are guided to set and read cookies in the same domain and different domains. They will practice a number of ways on cross-domain cookie use, including iframe, image, and JavaScript.

2. Students are shown one particular scenario demonstrating how an advertising service works using cookies.
Figure 6. Using JavaScript to Read a Cookie

After the lab, a number of tasks are presented to students to see if they have learned from the readings and guided exercises.

Finally, an assignment is provided for additional hands-on work. The assignment asks students to design and implement a solution (using cookies) to track user input in a form and automatically load the user input the next time the form is requested.

1. Develop a prototype solution, using cookies, to demonstrate that a website can log its user search terms and the date/time of each search. You may need a web server for this assignment. Submit your source code for the webpage.

2. [Bonus] Is that possible to log user search terms and the date/time of each search from a third party cookie? Please test your design and demonstrate.

Module 3: How Websites Use Your Data to Display Targeted Ads

This lab demonstrates how visiting a single page can result in information about your visit being shared with dozens of other businesses, how web information sharing is implemented, and what service providers do not tell their customers. It is a follow-up of the previous module on cookies to apply the basic techniques in a more realistic ecommerce scenario. It demonstrates how a third party company or an advertising network (e.g., DoubleClick) can collect user-tracking data from several sites and then display targeted ads.

Upon completion of the module, students will be able to:

- Detect web bugs.
- Recognize web traffic that is generated by third parties.
- Evaluate potential privacy risks arising from user tracking.
The majority of big tracking sites are connected through third-party sites, e.g., tmz.com connects Facebook and DoubleClick (Cross & Geary, 2012). The students use Firefox/Chrome add-on Collusion to see real time interaction between Internet sites. The lab is developed inside the TurnKey LAMP VM (Figure 3) and contains four ecommerce websites kittenshop.org, chairworld.com, xyznews.com and twoclicks.com.

Pre-Lab activities include assigned reading and discussion on browser vulnerabilities and overview of lawsuits filed against companies that collect users’ browsing history without users’ knowledge.

The guided exercise walks student through two e-commerce websites kittenshop.org, chairworld.com and then brings students to visit a news portal xyznews.com (see Figure 7) where they find ads showing chairs and kittens they were interested in at kittenshop.org and chairworld.com. Besides guided exercises, all source code is provided to students so they understand the programming side of user tracking using cookies.

Students study how the information about browsing was collected by a third party website two-clicks.com. Then students are directed to twoclicks.com to check what browsing information two-clicks.com has collected. Every time students visited a product page, twoclicks.com collected the URL, details about the browser, requester’s IP, and time of the request.

![XYZ News](image)

**Figure 7. The results of user tracking**

The image included in both ecommerce websites kittenshop.org and chairworld.com is generated by handle.php file called from TwoClicks site. The file sets a cookie if the cookie is not set for this browser. Information about the cookie is stored in the TwoClicks database. The database also stores:

- user’s IP address,
- referer information (the URL of a web page that contains the link that called the current page),
- time and date, and
- the browser information.
The exercise is followed by discussion on tracking detection and prevention, a quiz, and a module assessment assignment. The students are asked to rewrite TwoClicks site to use a persistent cookie or HTML5 application cache.

**Module 4: Public Identity Service and Privacy Concerns**

Many small and social oriented websites and mobile apps allow users to be authenticated by certain co-operating sites (known as Relying Parties or RP) using a third party service, eliminating the need for webmasters to provide their own ad hoc systems and allowing users to consolidate their digital identities. Some of these services not only provided authentication but also share user information with consumer websites. In this module, students will perform a detailed inspection of what kind of data can be shared by these services. The goal of this module is to raise the awareness of user data sharing behind the scene.

Upon completion of the module, students will be able to:

- Explain and contrast authentication and authorization.
- Survey major public third party authentication/identity services.
- Discuss the advantages and privacy issues related to these services.
- Examine Google Authentication and Authorization Service and its technical details.
- Develop programs utilizing Google’s service to get user information.
- Understand user information sharing associated with Google login.
- Manage user app permission configurations in Google.

![Google Authentication Playground](Image)

Figure 8. Google Authentication Playground
In the lab, students will complete a hands-on exercise to experiment with Google Authentication and Authorization Services. Students will login to a sample website using Google credentials and access the user’s information.

The lab consists of four exercises:

1) Experience the basic login process with an example site that accepts Google login and learn what information is requested.
2) Visit the Google OAuth Playground https://developers.google.com/oauthplayground/ to see what information can be shared (see Figure 8).
3) Write code that uses Google login https://developers.google.com/+/quickstart/javascript
4) Managing permissions: granting and revoking access to a token or app in three ways.

The assignment is to develop a website that uses Google login and retrieves user’s activities and information from YouTube.

**Students Survey and Feedback**

At the end of each module, students complete a survey that collects feedback in the following four areas:

- Learning material organization, instruction and logic flow.
- Achievement of learning outcomes.
- Satisfaction with learning process.
- Effectiveness of assessments that cover technical details.

The survey consists of 14 questions or statements. The first group consists of twelve statements that measure students’ perceptions and learning effectiveness. Students evaluate these statements using a 5-point Likert scale. The next group consists of two open-ended questions designed to collect qualitative comments and feedback. Students’ qualitative feedback provided valuable insights that were used to improve the current modules and will help in the development of future modules on technical aspects of privacy. The survey questions are included in the Appendix.

The first two modules were used in two graduate courses. The first module was used in a graduate course that focused on multi-tier application development, and the second module was used in a graduate course that focused on advanced web concepts and applications. A total of 27 students completed the modules and provided feedback. Quantitative responses are summarized in Table 1.

Overall, more than 96% of all students agreed that modules have a clear objectives/learning outcomes and assigned reading helped them to successfully achieve module outcomes. 100% of students agreed that tasks in the modules were helpful in applying knowledge with 93% indicating that hands-on exercises and examples provided in the modules are crucial in understanding technical aspects of privacy and that the assessments tested what they have learned through the module.

Sixty-four percent of students did not fully understand the concepts covered in modules before starting the module. Eighty-nine percent of students agreed that after the completion of the module they are more aware of the issues and will act accordingly. Seeing examples in the module motivated 95% of the students to learn more about technical aspects of privacy.
Table 1: Student Feedback Summary

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>#</th>
<th>Survey Item</th>
<th>Strongly disagree</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
<th>Neutral</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>Strongly agree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>This module has clear objectives and learning outcomes.</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>The readings in this module are adequate to achieve learning outcomes.</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>The tasks are helpful in applying knowledge.</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>These laboratory exercises are crucial in understanding technical aspects of privacy.</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>The assessment indeed tests what I have learned through the module.</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Seeing these examples motivated me to learn more about technical aspects privacy.</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>I’ve already learned these concepts in other classes.</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8-10</td>
<td>I fully understood concepts covered in the module before starting the module</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Examples and guided exercises from this module gave me better grasp of the concepts.</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Now I will be more aware of the issues and act accordingly</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>52</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Some questions are combined for analysis and reporting

Students reported the following strengths of the developed modules:
- Informative content that includes guided exercises and videos;
- Credibility of used materials;
- Thorough coverage of the content starting from the basics.
- Guided exercises that contributed to the achievement of the learning outcomes.

Students suggested the following improvements:
- Include more examples;
- Include more exercises;
- Include instructions in alternative formats;
- Include more details for each step of the guided exercises.

The feedback from students is encouraging. It shows that in spite of being exposed to web applications every day, the majority of the students did not understand the concepts covered in the modules. This shows the need for these types of learning exercises and the importance of coverage of the technical details. The students had a clear understanding of what they will learn and were provided adequate reading and enough hands-on exercises to understand the concepts and apply them in future.
Discussion and Conclusion

Our research shows that learning privacy from a technical perspective is much needed. A knowledge of privacy topics is expected by students’ future employers and society. The curriculum framework that inspired the labware development anticipates attention to technical aspects to complement the coverage of privacy topics. The four sample modules introduced in this paper helped students to experience and, as a result, better understand the main privacy concerns with keyboard and mouse tracking, cookies, user tracking, and public identity. Throughout lab activities, students not only experimented with privacy breaches, but also created a list of protective measures that includes browser settings modification and browser add-ons. The learning modules assessments show that students after completing assigned reading and guided exercises are able to detect implicit and explicit data collection and design, configure, and implement technical solutions to prevent privacy violations.

The resulting framework and courseware can serve as a model for developing additional privacy learning modules under the framework and teach students about potential privacy risks. The collected feedback shows that hands-on laboratory exercises are helpful in understanding the technical aspects of privacy.

For future work, we plan to continue the development of new modules and encourage other relevant work to fill the gap. A more complete curriculum will help IT and IS departments design well-structured privacy courses and programs, and produce graduates that satisfy requirements of the industry, government, and society.
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Appendix. Post Survey for Lab 1 and 2: How Websites Use Your Data to Display Targeted Ads

Part I. For each statement below, check the appropriate column, as it applies to you.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Strongly Disagree</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
<th>Neutral</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>Strongly Agree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Common survey questions for all modules
1. This module has clear objectives and learning outcomes.
2. The readings in this module are adequate to achieve learning outcomes.
3. The tasks are helpful in applying knowledge.
4. These laboratory exercises are crucial in understanding technical aspects of privacy.
5. The assessment indeed tests what I have learned through the module.
6. Seeing these examples motivated me to learn more about technical aspects privacy.

Module specific survey questions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Module 1</th>
<th>Module 2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>7. I learned in other classes how web apps could undermine privacy.</td>
<td>7. I learned in other classes how cookies can be of a privacy concern from a technical perspective.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. I fully understood before starting this module how</td>
<td>8. Before starting this module, I fully understood how</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a. user web tracking works.</td>
<td>a. Cookies work technically.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b. targeted ads and web behavioral marketing works.</td>
<td>b. Cookies are used for user tracking technically.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9. Seeing examples from this module gave me better grasp of how</td>
<td>c. to use PHP and JavaScript to set and read cookies.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a. web tracking works.</td>
<td>9. Examples and guided exercises from this module gave me better grasp of how cookies work and are used for tracking.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b. targeted ads and web behavioral marketing works.</td>
<td>10. I will be more aware of the tracking posed through a website and act accordingly (e.g. configure cookie or privacy settings).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10. Analysis of logs generated by my interaction with a browser gave me</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>better understanding of how user tracking is done.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Open-ended questions (same for all modules)
11. What are the strengths of this module?
12. What can be improved?
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